

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/739,290	12/19/2003	Masud Jenabi	46417.001027	8840
21967	7590 03/09/2005		EXAM	INER
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP			AL NAZER, LEITH A	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT				
1900 K STREET, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1200			2821	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/739,290	JENABI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leith A. Al-Nazer	2821				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 L	December 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/739,290

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13 recites "the first RF signal" and "the second RF signal". There is a lack of antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 12, 13, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,933,108 to Mohuchy.

With respect to claims 12 and 13, Mohuchy teaches an antenna comprising a first substrate containing a plurality of transmitter chips (200 and 201 in figure 1), wherein each transmitter chip is comprised of a first series of phase shifters (210, 211,

Application/Control Number: 10/739,290 Page 3

Art Unit: 2821

220, 221, 240, 241, 250, and 251 in figure 3) to control the scan angle and linear polarization of an RF signal, a first 90 degree phase shifter (230 and 231 in figure 3) to control the circular polarization of an RF signal, and a first means (600) for controlling the first series of phase shifters and the first 90 degree phase shifter; a second substrate containing a plurality of transmitter chips (202 and 203), connected at the output of the first substrate, wherein each transmitter chip is comprised of a second series of phase shifters to control the scan angle and linear polarization of an RF signal, a second 90 degree phase shifter to control the circular polarization of an RF signal, and a second means (600) for controlling the second series of phase shifters and the second 90 degree phase shifter; and a balun substrate (left side of figure 1; column 4, lines 32-41) connected at the output of the second substrate containing a number of baluns that divides an RF signal into two equal signals that are 180 degrees out of phase with each other.

With respect to claim 17, Mohuchy teaches each of the substrates being designed using MMIC technology (column 6, lines 42-58).

With respect to claim 18, Mohuchy teaches each of the substrates being built using LTCC technology (column 6, lines 42-58).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S.
 Patent No. 5,933,108 to Mohuchy in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,088,970 to Fassett et al.
 Claim 14 requires the antenna be capable of transmitting with a single operating signal. Such a configuration is well known in the art, as is evidenced by Fassett (figure)
- 4). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize a single operating signal in the system of Mohuchy along with a coupler for coupling the single operating signal onto a second transmission line. The motivation for doing so would have been to perform two functions simultaneously on the same input operating signal.
- 8. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,933,108 to Mohuchy in view of Raby et al.

Claims 15 and 16 require the balun substrate comprise a number of radiator elements connected at the output of the baluns. Such a configuration is well known in

Page 5

Art Unit: 2821

the art, as is evidenced by Raby (left side of figure 1). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize radiator elements at the output of the baluns. The motivation for doing so would have been to transmit the output signal of the baluns, via an electromagnetic wave, to a distant target position.

9. Claims 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,933,108 to Mohuchy in view of Raby or U.S. Patent No. 4,088,970 to Fassett et al.

Claim 19 requires the various substrates be interconnected using a Fuzz-bottom interconnect. Such a configuration is well known in the art, as is evidenced by Raby (figure 1) and Fassett (figure 3). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to take the system of Mohuchy and utilize a Fuzz-bottom configuration. The motivation for doing so would have been to achieve a desired, compact configuration.

Claim 21 requires the various substrates be connected to the Fuzz-bottom interconnect using a film epoxy. Fassett teaches such a configuration (column 4, lines 10-23).

10. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,933,108 to Mohuchy in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,030,961 to Tsao.

Art Unit: 2821

Claim 20 requires each of the substrates be connected to an aluminum-graphite frame that provides a support and heat sinking mechanism for the substrates. Such a configuration is well known in the art, as is evidenced by Tsao (column 1, lines 50-62). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize a frame support and heat sinking mechanism in the system of Mohuchy. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a frame for solidifying the system and, at the same time, to provide a heat sinking mechanism. Such a setup prevents the use of elements used specifically for heat sinking, and therefore, the overall size and cost of the system is decreased.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leith A. Al-Nazer whose telephone number is 571-272-1938. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571-272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/739,290 Page 7

Art Unit: 2821

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LA

TAN HO PRIMARY EXAMINER